



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,011	10/31/2003	William D. Holland	10014648-1	1436
22879 7590 05/17/2010 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528				
EXAMINER				
KRASNIC, BERNARD				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2624				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/17/2010		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

laura.m.clark@hp.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/699,011  <b>Examiner</b> BERNARD KRASNIC	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOLLAND, WILLIAM D.  <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"><b>Art Unit</b> 2624</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	
<b>Art Unit</b> 2624				

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) BERNARD KRASNIC (Examiner).
(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. David Collins (Reg. No. 26,857).
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 April 2010
**Time:** 2:35pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_ .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhavesh M Mehta/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624  
 /Bernard Krasnic/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the expiration of the time period [two months] for further appeal of the Boards decision by the Appellant, the Examiner initiated a telephonic interview with the Appellant's representative, Mr. Collins (Reg. No. 26,857), to offer an Examiner's Amendment to rewrite the dependent claims 34, 35 and 38 [in which the Board reversed the Examiner] in independent form. The Appellant preferred to receive the Office Action giving them a ONE MONTH TIME PERIOD from the mailing date of this letter in which to present the dependent claim(s) in independent form to avoid ABANDONMENT of the application [NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE GRANTED].